The Value Proposition for Federated Identity Management for Research (FIM4R)

eResearch NZ 2016

### Presenters and Panelists

- + Sat Mandri, REANNZ, <a href="mailto:sat.mandri@reannz.co.nz">sat.mandri@reannz.co.nz</a>;
- + Heath Marks, AAF, <a href="marks@aaf.edu.au">heath.marks@aaf.edu.au</a>
- + Heather Flanagan, Spherical Cow Group, <a href="mailto:hlflanagan@sphericalcowgroup.com">hlflanagan@sphericalcowgroup.com</a>
- + Tim Chaffe, University of Auckland, <a href="mailto:t.chaffe@auckland.ac.nz">t.chaffe@auckland.ac.nz</a>
- + Greg Jones, Landcare Research, <u>Jonesg@Landcareresearch.co.nz</u>
- + Vladimir Mencl, REANNZ, <u>vladimir.mencl@reannz.co.nz</u>

## Outline

- + The Value Proposition
  - + Highlights Who, What, Where, and How
  - + Case Studies
  - + FIM<sub>4</sub>R
  - + Critical takeaway

## The Value Proposition for Identity Federations

- https://wiki.refeds.org/x/MoA4
- + Authors: Chris Phillips (CANARIE), Lucy Lynch (NSRC), Nicole Harris (REFEDS/GÉANT), Heath Marks (AAF)
- + Editor: Heather Flanagan (REFEDS/Spherical Cow Group)
- + Contributors: Ann Harding (SWITCH), Klaas Wierenga (Cisco)

### Who Benefits?

- + Students and researchers benefit by having:
  - + more collaboration opportunities
  - + potential access to more resources and data
- + The campus or institution benefits by having:
  - + a solidly branded institutional identity which improves the overall reputation of the organization
  - + a stronger security profile for the network
  - + an ability to logically budget for the network based on actual data (who is on the system, how quickly is it growing, where are the bottlenecks)
  - + fewer bilateral contracts; more organizations can function under a common framework
- + The research community benefits by having:
  - + more efficient utilization of resources
  - + easier research collaboration can be setup within hours rather than days/weeks
  - + easier to share or move data between sites/nodes where relevant

## Where are the Most Compelling Services?

- + Govt. funded national research collaboration and e-infrastructure
- + eduroam
- + eduGAIN
- digital libraries
- + licensed software
  - + Learning Management Systems (CANVAS)
  - + Wiki
- + Cloud service providers supporting research and education
  - + Researchresearch.com
  - + Qualtrics
  - + AWS Research Grants

## How to Make Federated Identity Work

- + Identify the challenge "problem statement"
- + Make the case
- + Action and track metrics
- + Report on the value received
- + Take advantage of existing tools and services through federations such as Tuakiri

## Case Study #1: LIGO

- + A large collaboration in physics looking for gravitational waves
  - + 1000 scientists, 5 continents, dozens of institutions, multiple MOUs with other research groups
- + Tools include wikis, mailing lists, document archives, and access to datasets
  - + a goal to even have access to the instruments through SSO
- + The goal is science, not infrastructure
  - + federated identity management reduces the IAM burden on the collaboration

# LIGO Scientific Collaboration LSC







































LUND UNIVERSITY



MONTCLAIR STATE UNIVERSITY























NEW HAMPSHIRE













UNIVERSITY

















EMBRY-RIDDLE





SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY



















Science & Technology Facilities Council Rutherford Appleton Laboratory







## Case Study #2: DARIAH

- + A large collaboration of humanities research groups
  - + Coordinating Virtual Competence Centers around general advocacy, research and education, e-infrastructure, and scholarly content management
- + Goal is to develop, maintain, and operate an infrastructure in support of information and communication technology-based research practices
  - + The whole premise is based on federated identity management
- + Tools include the usual collaboration software as well as Curricula, Methodology

## Current Figures for DARIAH

- + Over 3100 users by the end of 2015, with more than 250 user groups
- + Collaboration is key, and technologies include LDAP, SAML, and now OAuth2
- + Major challenges include
  - + Many institutions are still not part of a federation
  - + Institutions that are part of a federation often do not release the attributes to the Service Providers
  - + Federated technology is still somewhat focused on web-based tools; non-web-based tools need further development

### Research on Researcher Needs

- + Original FIM4R paper in 2012 described a set of recommendations to the research communities, technology providers, and funding agencies
  - + The core use cases came from large research organizations with funding

https://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1442597

- + The "Advancing Technologies and Federated Communities", also in 2012, described a set of recommendations around technology, policy, funding, and legal issues.
  - + A more generalized approach than the FIM paper, but the recommendations are largely the same

https://www.terena.org/publications/files/2012-AAA-Study-report-final.pdf

## FIM4R Findings Summarized

- + Federated technologies are good. Take advantage of them.
- + The infrastructure needs to be improved to take advantage of federated technologies. Do it.
- + Relying on the older models of local account creation and IP-based ACLs is easier. This is a very limited view. Stop it.
- + If you can't fix it all yourself (and you can't), facilitate the efforts of groups that can. Build relationships, target your spending or funding to make the biggest impact.

## Critical Takeaway – Federated Identity Supporting Science and Research

- + For Science development and research collaboration to happen without Identity & Access administrative overhead and operational burden:
  - + Institution must consider Federated Identity Management as part of their IT Strategy & Policy
  - + Implement Federated Identity Management across the campus
  - + Support the researchers and their research with FIM as a priority
  - + Support with funding, carry out awareness training and deploy IdPs and enable relevant services with federated identity management infrastructure
- + For federated identity to be successful at a national level, the research community needs:
  - + IT departments to expedite setup and access
  - + To carry out their research with less or no administrative overhead and burden
  - + Federated Identity Management be clearly stipulated in the funding rule (by Funder, Govt.)
  - + Government Research Institutes to take advantage of the service already offered by Tuakiri in NZ

### What is the Value?

- + Connect anything web-based once only: optimize access to services,
- + Move faster: accelerate applications and service delivery,
- + Work efficiently: reduce operational effort and cost, and
- + Unlock value: enable user managed access and increase productivity.